

May 27, 2004
Dear Sir/Madam:

It seems a shame, that the big Broadcasting Companies have to rely on lobbysists to do there dirty work instead of trying to compet legitimately.

I am an XM Radio subscriber and I drive truck in the Los Angeles Area of California.

I depend on the XM Instant Traffic and Weather Channel for the Los Angeles and serrounding areas to be able to make on time deliveries of time sensitive freight. To Whit: the Los Angeles Times, to the verious Agents for distribution to the local carriers for distribution to homes and vending machines.

Since the XM Traffic and Weather Channel came on, I have attained a 98% on-time sucess rate, compaired to a 64% on-time sucess rate before the XM Instant Traffic and Weather Channel.

Satellite radio should have the right to provide their customers withlocal weather and traffic reports. I pay for XM Radio and enjoy the easy updates. The National Association of Broadcasters has no right to decide what I or anyone can listen to. If XM Radio is denied this right it would would be similar to the major TV networks (ABC, NBC, CBS, FOX and others) trying to deny cable providers from broadcasting The Weather Channel. It makes no sense.

I am unalaterably opposed to any effert by any government agency to control the freedom of the First Ammendment to the US Constitution. Satelite Radio services such as XM and Sirius, provide valuable services to the listening public. I, for one, enjoy the entertainment and information my satellite service provides and do not want it limited or curtailed in any way, least of all by some government bureaucats. Leave well enough alone. We have plenty of governmental interference in our lives as it is. Less governed is best governed. Also the NAB dose not, cannot, and will not dictate what I listen to on the radio.

I strongly ask the FCC to reject NAB'S petition 04-160.

Sincerely,

Jack W. Goldstein